

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/602,721	06/25/2003	Chandra Mouli	M4065.0761/P761	9931	
24998	7590 06/27/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			LEE, EUGENÉ		
2101 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ξ,			2815		

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				f
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/602,721	MOULI ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	_
		Eugene Lee	2815	
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication a Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
THE MA - Extension after SI - If the period of the perio	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The priod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the priod for reply will, by state the priod for reply will. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) or d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDO.	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 26	May 2005.		
•	•	nis action is non-final.		
3)□ S	ince this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the merits is	
C	losed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositio	n of Claims			
5)⊠ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) 1-10,12-33,35-37 and 59-65 is/are (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdown islaim(s) 1-10,12-33 and 35-37 is/are allowed laim(s) 59-65 is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restriction and the Papers	rawn from consideration. d.		
9)□ TI	ne specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)⊠ TI A R	ne drawing(s) filed on <u>24 November 2003</u> is pplicant may not request that any objection to the eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct one oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objective drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)□ 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docume. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s	s) of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice (3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail		

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/26/05 has been entered.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the channel region ... located below the bottom surface of the gate (claims 1, 20, 24, and 59) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an

application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 59 thru 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koizumi et al. 6,661,459 B1 in view of Yasaka 611-174765 JPO. Koizumi discloses (see, for example, FIG. 3) a pixel cell comprising a p-well (substrate) 102, transfer gate region (gate) 103, transfer transistor, and a photoelectric conversion element wherein the photoelectric conversion element comprises a p-layer (doped surface layer of a first conductivity type) 105, and n-layer (doped region of a second conductivity type) 106. Koizumi does not disclose a gate of a transistor formed in the trench, the gate having a bottom surface below the surface of the substrate; a channel region of the transistor located below the bottom surface of the gate; and the doped surface layer is a at least partially above a level of a bottom surface of the gate. However, Yasaka discloses (see, for example, figure 1) a structure comprising a V-shaped groove (trench), transfer electrodes 2/3, transfer channel 4, P+ region (doped surface layer), and N region 6. The P+ region is above a level of a bottom surface of the transfer electrodes 2/3. In the abstract,

Yasaka states that the width of the transfer channel is increased, thereby increasing the maximum charge transferred. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have a gate of a transistor formed in the trench, the gate having a bottom surface below the surface of the substrate; a channel region of the transistor located below the bottom surface of the gate; and the doped surface layer is a at least partially above a level of a bottom surface of the gate in order to substantially increase the width of the transfer channel in order to increase the maximum charge transferred, and obtain a broader dynamic range.

Regarding claim 60, see FIG. 3 wherein Koizumi discloses a p-layer 105 and n-layer 104 which collectively form a pinned photodiode.

Regarding claim 61, see column 4, line 19 wherein Koizumi discloses a transfer transistor.

Regarding claim 62, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed (i.e. in a reset transistor or charge coupled device) does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations, Ex Parte Masham, 2 USPQ F. 2d 1647 (1987).

Regarding claim 63, see FIG. 3 wherein Koizumi discloses a floating diffusion (sensing node) 107.

Regarding claims 65, Koizumi in view of Yasaka does not disclose the trench having a depth within the range of approximately 500 to approximately 2500 A. However, the depth of the trench is a result effective variable that one of ordinary skill in the art would optimize for forming the transfer gate in a semiconductor device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to have the trench have a depth

within the range of approximately 500 to approximately 2500 A in order to form an adequate gate in a semiconductor device to transfer charge, and since it has been held that discovering the optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Allowable Subject Matter

Sclaims 1 thru 10, 12 thru 33, 35 thru 37, and 59 thru 65 are allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The references of record, either singularly or in combination, do not teach or suggest at least a **pixel cell** comprising: a gate of a transistor formed at least partially **below** a surface of the substrate, the gate having a bottom surface below the surface of the substrate; a **photo-conversion device** formed **adjacent** to the gate, a doped surface layer of a first conductivity type, and a doped region of a second conductivity type underlying the doped surface layer, wherein the **second conductivity type** layer is at a level below the level of the bottom surface of the gate. Fossum 5,055,900 discloses a gate below a surface of the substrate, and a doped surface layer of a first conductivity type, however, Fossum does not disclose the second conductivity type layer (which is underlying the doped surface layer) wherein the second conductivity type layer is at a level below the level of the bottom surface of the gate (claims 1-10, and 12-19).

Regarding claims 20-23, the references of record, either singularly or in combination, do not teach or suggest at least a **pixel cell** comprising: a trench in the substrate; a gate of a transistor at least partially in the trench; a **photo-conversion device** formed **adjacent** to the gate, a doped layer of a first conductivity type below the surface of the substrate, and a doped region

of a second conductivity type underlying the doped layer of a first conductivity type, wherein the second conductivity type layer is at a level below the level of the bottom surface of the gate.

Regarding claims 24-33, and 35-37, the references of record, either singularly or in combination, do not teach or suggest at least an imager system comprising: a pixel comprising: a gate of a transistor formed at least partially below a surface of the substrate, the gate having a bottom surface below the surface of the substrate; a photo-conversion device formed adjacent to the gate, a doped surface layer of a first conductivity type, and a doped region of a second conductivity type underlying the doped surface layer, wherein the second conductivity type layer is at a level below the level of the bottom surface of the gate.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10, 12-33, 35-37, and 59-65 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO CONTACT THE USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1733. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 571-272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,721

Art Unit: 2815

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eugene Lee June 20, 2005

Und